# The Design & Findings of a Random-Controlled Trial of a Game-Based Successful Mathematics Intervention















Karin Wiburg • kwiburg@nmsu.edu Barbara Chamberlin • bchamber@nmsu.edu

*Math Snacks* materials were developed with support from the National Science Foundation (0918794). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National

Science Foundation.





Today, we'll:

- 1. Briefly share findings
- 2. Review the **research-design process** (interactive workshop)
  - a) Needs analysis including pre-design research
  - b) Establish learning goals
  - c) Game design
  - d) Pilot studies and instrument validation
- 3. Group Discussion

# Beginning with the Final Study

#### Research Design

Experimental study measuring the effect of **four educational games** and related inquiry- based activities on students' understandings of ratios, coordinate plane, and number systems (fractions and decimals)

- 48 classrooms randomly assigned to Group A or Group B
- All classrooms were fifth grade in one district (75% Hispanic, 24% White)
- Math Gains measured on Mathematics Learning II (reliability .89)
- Observations of classrooms (twice per teacher by 2 observers)



## **Theoretical Framework- Math Snacks**

 Theoretical Framework - constructivist learning principles for building knowledge (Scardamlia & Bereiter, 2008 ; *problem-based* approach has been described as *anchored instruction* (Alessi & Trollip, 2001; Moreno, 2010; Affordances of games for concept images and learning (Tall and Vinner; J. Gee, Keith Devlin); Additional activities to apply knowledge learned from games. Use of useful common core. Research on pivot points (Stanford).

# Math Snacks Intervention Model

### Each lesson protocol included:

- game play session with group discussion (30-40 minutes),
- hands-on activity related to game play (30-40 minutes),
- second game-play session with a final discussion (30-40 minutes)
- optional out-of-school game play 93% of students engaged in game play out of school

Observations of classroom teaching and game playing (2 times per teacher, trained observers)



## **Research Questions**

- 1. Will students who were taught using the Math Snacks Intervention (A) show greater growth in mathematical knowledge (ratios, coordinate plane, and fractions, decimals) than students taught mathematics using only the reg. district curriculum (B)?
- 2. Will students who received the delayed fiveweek intervention (B) have equivalent math knowledge gains as students (A)who received the first five-week intervention?
- 3. Were initial gains by Group A sustained?

#### Scores on Measure of Mathematics Learning II (Group A n=361, Group B n=380





#### Scores on Measure of Mathematics Learning II (Group A n=361, Group B n=380





Timeline for Delayed Treatment Model								
	Test 1	5 week period	Test 2	5-week period	Test 3			
Group <b>A</b>	V	<i>Math Snacks</i> with district math curriculum	V	District math curriculum	$\checkmark$			
Group <b>B</b>	V	District math curriculum	V	<i>Math Snacks</i> with district math curriculum	V			

Mean Scores on	Measure of	Mathematics	Learning II

	Test 1		Test 2		Test 3
Group <b>A</b> n = 361	23.64 (8.47)	Significant gain p < .001	28.91 (8.77)	-	31.58 (8.80)
Group <b>B</b> n = 380	23.84 (8.36)		26.37 (8.71)	Significant gain p < .001 -	31.10 (8.43)



# Pre-Math Snacks Research

 Pre-design Research- Using 24,000 standardized tests with open-ended items, 500 hours of classroom observation, and additional documentation of areas teachers find mathematically challenging lead to the mathematical goals for Math Snacks.

Karin Wiburg and Ken Korn (2008 and 2011)



#### Same Troubles Year after Year (Wiburg & Korn)



Common low scores: B4- Proportional Thinking, B5- Operations with fractions and decimals, C1- estimation, C4- Interpret and Use Ratios 2008 data



#### Suite of Tools



Learner Guides for animations







Teacher Guides









Teaching With Videos

# Start with Needs

## **Define Outcomes**

- What does it look like if user understands?
- How is it currently taught?
  - What works?
  - What doesn't?



#### mathsnacks.



# Make a Tool



### **Brainstorm**

Animation? Game?What does the learner do?

- Inquire?
- Memorize?
- Explore?
- Experiment?

Wiburg • Barbara Chamberlin

## Learning Games Design Model (this is a whole process)

Chamberlin, B. A., Trespalacios, J., Gallagher, R.
(2014). Bridging Research and Game
Development: A Learning Games Design Model
for Multi-Game Projects. In Mehdi Khosrow-Pour
(Ed.), Educational Technology Use and Design for
Improved Learning Opportunities (pp. 151–171).
Hershey, PA: IGI Global.





## **Assessment Measures**

- Measure of Mathematics Learning II Released Items from the NAEP modified after trials
- OLE2- Observation of Learning Environments
- Self-efficacy
- Embedded gameplay data especially for outof-school learning



# Small group activities

- Possibilities for pilot testing of new game or animation.
- What kind of instruments are needed to gather the data you want?



# What have you learned?

- What are you measuring? (not just content)
- Encouraging inquiry-based learning



# Large Pilot testing Spring 2013

#### Keys to Success:

- Pilot testing of product and tools
  - With learners, teachers, in classrooms
- Extensive review of teacher use
- Observers in classroom
- Multiple type of assessment (quantitative, qualitative, embedded)

#### Limitations:

- While everyone gained, too much disparity and there was no pretreatment equivalence
- Disparity in teaching quality



# Final questions and discussion.

- Write questions and answers from the group on any aspect of the design as well as final testing.
- Pre-design research?
- Foundational design?
- Pilot studies?
- Random Control Trial Study?



# The Design & Findings of a for a Random-Controlled Trial of a Game-Based Successful Mathematics Intervention













Karin Wiburg • kwiburg@nmsu.edu Barbara Chamberlin • bchamber@nmsu.edu

*Math Snacks* materials were developed with support from the National Science Foundation (0918794). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

