# M-PI ANS

# Teacher Professional Learning to Support Students' Motivation in NGSS Classrooms

Principal Investigators: Jennifer A. Schmidt,<sup>1</sup> Gwen C. Marchand,<sup>3</sup> Lisa Linnenbrink-Garcia,<sup>1</sup> Christopher J. Harris,<sup>2</sup> Senior Personnel: Lauren Cabrera, <sup>1</sup> David McKinney, <sup>2</sup> Pei Pei Liu<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Michigan State University, <sup>2</sup>WestEd, <sup>3</sup>University of Nevada, Las Vegas, <sup>4</sup>Colby College

#### Summary

The promise of the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS Lead States, 2013) rests on teachers creating instructional experiences where students are highly engaged and active in their learning. We iteratively designed and tested a research-based professional learning (PL) approach to help middle school science teachers effectively support and sustain students' motivational competencies during ambitious science instruction. A team of researchers and middle school science teachers co-designed a PL approach called M-PLANS (Motivation - Planning Lessons to Activate eNgagement in Science). Early testing suggests promise for impacts on teacher beliefs and behavior as well as students' motivation and engagement in science

#### Overview

- M-PLANS (Motivation Planning Lessons to Activate eNgagement in Science) refers to a suite of professional learning tools to facilitate middle school science teachers' modification, creation, and implementation of instruction that supports students' motivation and engagement along with the science and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts and disciplinary core ideas specified in the NRC Framework (NRC, 2012) and NGSS
- Co-developed with experienced middle school science teachers and school district science coordinators through a cyclical codesign process.
- · Aim to create professional learning experience to equip teachers to support middle school science students' motivation using five theoretically- and empirically-based Motivational Design Principles (MDPs; Linnenbrink-Garcia, Patall, & Pekrun, 2016).
- · Two primary research questions: (1) How do teachers respond to M-PLANS? and (2) How do students respond to instruction developed by their teachers through M-PLANS?

# M-PLANS Development Cycle



- 2 cycles of implementation in racially and ethnically diverse districts in MI and NV: Phase 1: 6 teachers, 94 Phase 2: 18 teachers, 323
- Teachers gueried about the incorporation of the MDPs into their planning and teaching, the usability of the resources and tools, and how the program supported changes in their teacher-student interaction through focus groups, interviews and pre-/postimplementation teacher surveys.
- · In each classroom, a small set of lessons were video-recorded so that the team could examine how MDPs were translated into practice
- · Students completed brief end-of-class surveys for video-recorded lessons and pre/post surveys querying them about their motivation and engagement as well as teachers' instruction aligned with the MDPs



# Motivation Design Principles for Science Instruction



# **Toolkit Elements: Examples**



This project is funded by the National Science Foundation, grant numbers 1813047, 1812976, 1907480. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions of recommendations expressed in these materials are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation

MDP in Action

Multi-day PL to build

Resource Guide with

loverview. look-fors.

planning tool, activities.

talk moves]. (d) NGSS

connections, (e) lesson

Website with Resource

planning tool

Quick Tools Peer mentoring guide

assessment

reflection

Knowledge-in-use

Tools for gathering

feedback and promoting

Guide

background knowledge

sections on (a) supporting

positive classroom climate

(c) MDP-specific resources

equity, (b) establishing a

# **Key Findings**

#### RQ1: How do teachers respond to M-PLANS?

Findings from observations, interviews, focus groups and surveys indicated that:

· M-PLANS PL changes teachers' knowledge about and use of instructional practices for supporting student motivation.

 Teachers shifted beliefs about motivation and engagement in science

Teachers found the materials usable and useful

· Teachers used a range of strategies to implement each MDP suggesting that teachers were able to personalize the design principles Teachers' Knowledge and Use of MDPs:



#### RQ2: How do students respond to instruction developed by their teachers through M-PLANS?

	Motivational Belief	Cohen's f <sup>2a</sup>
Regression analyses of pre-post survey data revealed changes in student motivation as a function of students' perceptions of teacher support for motivation.	Perceived Competence	0.21
	Intrinsic Value	0.12
	Utility Value	0.25
	Attainment Value	0.10
	Mastery Goals	0.17
	Belonging Need Satisfaction	0.27
	Effort Costo	0.14
	a Cohen's $I^2$ effect size: small = 0.02, medium = 0.15, large = 0.35 (Cohen, 1988) b Negative a sociation between perceptions of motivation support and effort	

### **Conclusions & Next Steps**

- · Results provide initial evidence of promise of M-PLANS for supporting changes in teacher beliefs, knowledge, and practice with downstream impacts on students' motivation and engagement in science.
- · Teacher feedback suggested that adding supports during teachers' early implementation of the MDPs could further strengthen the impact of M-PLANS. Next steps include (a) developing a dashboard to provide just-in-time feedback based on student end-of-class reports to inform teacher practice and (b) providing structured professional learning communities and opportunities for peer mentoring among teachers.
- Additional next steps include further knowledge mobilization through (a) PL opportunities for building and district administrators and (b) expansion of M-PLANS program to other grade levels and domains.