# Examining Potential Causal Connections and Mechanisms between Children's Block Play and Mathematics Learning

**Co-Authors:** David J. Purpura<sup>3</sup>, Robert J. Duncan<sup>3</sup>, Elyssa A. Geer<sup>2</sup>, Tracy M. Zehner<sup>1</sup>, Lindsey M. Bryant<sup>3</sup>, Tanya M. Paes<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>College of Education, University of Oregon <sup>2</sup>Prevention Science Institute, University of Oregon, <sup>3</sup>Department of Human Development and Family Science, Purdue University

## Introduction

- Block play is ubiquitous in preschool settings and is an important context where the development of math skills can occur<sup>1</sup>
- Correlational work links block play to math<sup>2,3</sup>, but there is little *causal evidence* to support these claims
- It is also unclear whether more intentional and structured block play is better for math learning than the unstructured, free play option often offered in classrooms
- No studies have identified *why* block play may promote early math, although several STEM- related skills (i.e., math language, spatial skills, executive function) have been suggested as mediators <sup>4,5</sup>
- There is a critical need to empirically test causal associations among structured and unstructured block play and math learning and identify mechanisms, particularly for children most in-need of intervention (e.g., children from low SES backgrounds)

# **Project Aims and Hypotheses**

1) Empirically evaluate the impacts of different types of block play (unstructured, semistructured) on children's math skills in a low-income sample (*completed*)

Hypothesis: Children assigned to either block play condition will demonstrate greater gains in math skills than children in a BAU control group. Children in the semi-structured condition will demonstrate greater gains in math skills than children in the unstructured condition.

2) Evaluate the extent to which children's math language, spatial skills, and executive function act as mechanisms linking block play with children's math learning (in progress)

### **Participants**

- 242 children (50% female;  $M_{age}$  = 52.01, SD = 6.78) from two Midwestern states
- Children came from families with low incomes (Head Start or free/reduced lunch eligible)
- 20% of the sample were Spanish speaking Dual Language Learners (DLL)

# **Pre and Posttest Measures**

### <u>Math Skills</u>

**Numeracy:** Preschool Early Numeracy Screener- Brief Version<sup>6</sup> **Cardinality:** Cardinality Measure<sup>7</sup>

**Numeral Identification:** Numeral Identification Measure<sup>7</sup> **Geometry:** Child Math Assessment– Geometric Subscales <sup>8</sup> STEM-Related Skills

Quant and Spatial Math Language: Preschool Assessment of the Language of Mathematics<sup>9</sup>

**Spatial Assembly:** Test of Spatial Assembly<sup>3</sup>

**Behavioral Self- Regulation:** Head-Toes-Knees-Shoulders<sup>10</sup> **Cognitive Flexibility:** Card Sort Task<sup>11</sup>

**Inhibitory Control:** Day Night Stroop<sup>12</sup>

Working Memory: Hide and Seek Task<sup>13</sup>

**Complex Planning:** Tower of Hanoi Task<sup>14</sup>

**Principal Investigator:** Sara A. Schmitt<sup>1</sup>



### Intervention Procedure

Children were randomly assigned to one of three conditions. Block play groups met for 15 min, 2x a week for 8 weeks and were identical except for the prompt given by an interventionist at the start of the session.

Semi-Structured Block Pl
Week 1: "Today your job
Week 3: <i>"Today your job</i> animals and a wall aroun
Week 7: <i>"Today I am goir</i>
/
Unstructured Block Play (
"Today, your job is to build
Business As Usual Control (

## Results

Each outcome at posttest was regressed on intervention condition, covarying for pretest score, DLL status, vocabulary<sup>15</sup>, age, sex, and parent education and accounting for small group clustering.



- given prompt
- relates to math language used *during* block play

# References

1 NAEYC, 2002, 2 Wolfgang et al., 2001, 3 Verdine et al, 2014, 4 Bustamante et al., 2018, 5 Hadani et al., 2018, 6 Purpura et al., 2015, 7 Purpura & Lonigan, 2015, 8 Klein & Starkey, 2004, 9 Purpura & Logan, 2015, 10 McClelland et al., 2014, 11 Zelazo, 2006, 12 Gerstadt et al., 1994, 13 Garon et al., 2014, 14 Carlson et al., 2004, 15 Dunne and Dunne, 2007

**Presenting Author:** Brianna L. Devlin<sup>2</sup>

lay (*n* = 72) Prompts differed by session and became more complex as the intervention progressed.

is to build a tower together!"

is to build a zoo where animals both big and small can live. In your zoo, you need to make spaces for the different sized nd the zoo so the animals do not escape."

ng to show you a picture of a structure. Your job is to work together to build the structure you see in the picture."

(i.e., free play; n = 70) The same prompt was given each session:

'd together! You can build anything you want. I can't wait to see what you build!"

### (n = 88)

• The majority of effect sizes were positive, in line with our hypothesis that early math and other STEM-related skills can be developed through block play and *supporting the use of block play as an effective STEM learning experience* 

• The expected advantage for children in the semi-structured condition was not supported; we next plan to explore children's engagement with the

• We also plan to unpack the iatrogenic effect of unstructured play on quantitative math language by considering how assessed math language

• We are in the process of testing math language, spatial skills, and executive functions as mediators of the effect of block play on math for Aim Two



Three effects were statistically significant:

- Unstructured play over BAU for geometry,
- b = .37, p = .01
- Unstructured play over BAU for behavioral self-regulation, b = .23, p = .017
- BAU over unstructured play for quant math language, b = -.18, p = .034