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Project Purpose

• Pre-service teachers (PSTs) need more opportunities to learn

• Why discussion?
  - Engaging students in discussions is believed to support development of reasoning skills, support conceptual learning, and improve engagement
  - Leading a group discussion is considered a high-leverage teaching practice (TeachingWorks, 2015)

• Why argumentation?
  - Argumentation is characterized by an exchange of ideas where claims are stated and supported using forms of argument that are valued within the discipline
  - Supports students in developing their conceptual understanding
  - Engages students in content practices as a deliberate goal of instruction
What is a simulation?

• Teaching Simulation
  – Set in a fifth-grade classroom
  – Five student avatars (and one “human-in-the-loop” who controls them)
  – Virtual whiteboard that PSTs and students can simultaneously write on using an iPad
  – PSTs begin mid-lesson, and have already seen written student work
  – Each PST individually plans and leads the short (up to 20 minute) discussion in the simulator
  – Discussion is in real-time with no pauses or restarts
Research Focus

How can performance tasks delivered within a simulated classroom environment be used to develop pre-service elementary teachers’ ability to facilitate discussions in science and mathematics?

- Evidence of improvement on ability to facilitate discussions
- Use and understanding of formative feedback
- Perceptions of task authenticity, usefulness, and appropriateness
Research Design

• Four-year, cross-disciplinary research and development grant
• Developed and piloted 8 performance tasks (4 math and 4 science) to support PST learning of how to facilitate small-group discussions focused on argumentation
• “Approximations of practice” model that provides opportunities for PSTs to:
  1) engage in rehearsals within a simulated classroom and receive video record of performance
  2) receive targeted, actionable feedback on teaching performance
  3) reflect and debrief within their methods class
• This study involves collaborating with 3 elementary teacher educators (1 science, 2 math) who integrated and used the performance tasks within their methods course across two semesters:
  1) baseline semester (pre and post only, business as usual for course instruction)
  2) formative semester (pre and post + use of 3 performance tasks during course instruction)
# Data Sources Across Baseline/Formative Semesters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Source</th>
<th>Time Point</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Formative 1</td>
<td>Formative 2</td>
<td>Formative 3</td>
<td>Baseline Semester</td>
<td>Formative Semester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded Simulated Discussion Performance</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PST Discussion Performance Scores</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background Information Questionnaire (BIQ)</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content Knowledge for Teaching (CKT)</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Task Survey</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher Educator Interview</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Study Interview (6-7 PSTs per class)</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational Notes from Class Sessions</td>
<td>✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
<td>✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔ ✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis

Qualitative coding of interview results and observational notes to identify patterns/themes in participants’ responses

Quantitative analysis of survey responses and performance scores
Selected Results

• Evidence that PSTs improved in their ability to facilitate argumentation-focused discussions
• PSTs’ self-report of which supports contributed most to their learning
• PSTs’ perceptions of the usefulness of the simulated teaching they experienced
PST Learning Was Evaluated via a Five Dimension Rubric

Five Dimensions

• **Attending to Student Ideas** focuses on ensuring all student voices are heard and ideas are valued.

• **Facilitating a Coherent and Connected Discussion** emphasizes the structure and clarity of what transpires in the discussion.

• **Encouraging Peer Interactions** focuses on the nature and extent of teacher mediation of student contributions.

• **Developing Students’ Conceptual Understanding** focuses on the accuracy of content and students’ opportunities to evaluate content accuracy.

• **Engaging Students in Argumentation** focuses on the extent to which argument construction and critique are a focus of instruction.

Three Score Levels

• **Level 1: Beginning Novice** – performance is typical of a novice with little to no preparation or teaching experience.

• **Level 2: Developing Novice** – performance represents a teacher who has some experience or preparation but is not yet ready to begin teaching children.

• **Level 3: Well-Prepared Novice** – performance represents a teacher who is ready to begin teaching children (but not yet expert).

GO Discuss Project. 2021. "01.01 Scoring Rubric.pdf". Scoring. Qualitative Data Repository. [https://doi.org/10.5064/F6NJU10I/VTQYSP](https://doi.org/10.5064/F6NJU10I/VTQYSP). GO Discuss. V1
Evidence that PSTs Improved in Their Ability to Facilitate Argumentation-focused Discussions

- Growth between pre- and post- time points by dimensions is shown here for the full group of PSTs across baseline and formative semesters.
- Baseline semesters are shown by dotted lines; formative semesters by solid lines.
- There was a statistically significant difference in post discussion scores between the baseline and formative groups, while adjusting for pre discussion scores.

See blog post for full details: https://aaas-arise.org/2021/03/30/pushing-the-boundaries-of-practice-based-teacher-education-how-can-online-simulated-classrooms-be-used-productively-to-support-stem-teacher-learning/
## PSTs’ Report of Important Factors Supporting Their Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor Supporting Learning</th>
<th>Very Important n (%)</th>
<th>Important n (%)</th>
<th>Not Important n (%)</th>
<th>Did Not Occur n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity with the simulated environment</td>
<td>45 (68%)</td>
<td>19 (29%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity with the task and expectations</td>
<td>48 (73%)</td>
<td>18 (27%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities to reflect on performance</td>
<td>37 (56%)</td>
<td>23 (35%)</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
<td>2 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formative feedback reports</td>
<td>49 (74%)</td>
<td>16 (24%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other feedback</td>
<td>30 (45%)</td>
<td>26 (39%)</td>
<td>5 (8%)</td>
<td>5 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class activities</td>
<td>38 (58%)</td>
<td>21 (32%)</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside activities not involving students (e.g., readings)</td>
<td>18 (28%)</td>
<td>17 (26%)</td>
<td>3 (5%)</td>
<td>27 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other related activities involving students (formal or informal experience in the classroom or with children)</td>
<td>26 (41%)</td>
<td>26 (41%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>12 (19%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=67 PSTs; some PSTs did not respond for all rows
### PST’s Report of Factors Contributing Most to Learning (Case Study Participants Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor Supporting Learning Most</th>
<th>Total n (%) N = 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formative feedback report</td>
<td>12 (60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to practice teaching</td>
<td>7 (35.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to reflect on practice</td>
<td>6 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to review video record of simulated discussion</td>
<td>4 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class activities</td>
<td>4 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The focus on the five dimensions of argumentation-focused discussion</td>
<td>2 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simplified nature of the task allowing for sustained focus on argumentation-focused discussion</td>
<td>1 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PSTs’ Perceptions of Usefulness

• 84% of PSTs would recommend including simulated classroom discussions for a future section of the methods course.

• Why? (% of total codes applied)
  - Opportunity to practice leading discussion (78%)
  - Tasks allowed PSTs to transfer skills to real classroom teaching (36%)
  - Safe environment in which to learn/make mistakes (33%)
  - Useful to focus on discussion (31%)
  - Supported reflecting on PST’s own teaching or that of others (14%)
  - Feedback reports were useful (13%)
  - Tasks simplified some aspects of classroom practice (12%)
  - Allowed PSTs to apply techniques learned in coursework (10%)
  - Allowed PSTs to better know and understand student thinking (5%)
  - Helped with understanding core content (2%)
Implications/Impact

• Proof of concept: First of their kind extended, content-focused performance tasks can be delivered via simulation with adequate levels of standardization to support their intended purpose.

• Results suggest simulations may have value as approximations of practice in teacher education.
  – Strong evidence of PST learning (direct and self-report evidence)

• The cycle of activities (preparation / engagement / feedback & debrief) drives PST learning, not the simulation alone.
  – Evidence that familiarity with the environment, task, expectations, and the formative feedback were especially important
  – But so was reflection, and support provided by the TEs
Products

**ALL** performance task files associated with this project work are publicly available for use and adaptation via the Qualitative Data Repository (QDR) including ...

- Teacher-facing materials for all eight mathematics and science tasks
- Back-end (interactor) training materials to support the person controlling the avatars
- Scoring materials including rubrics and training files that would allow you to score performances

To access:

- Create a free account at QDR (search qualitative data repository or go to [https://qdr.syr.edu/user/register](https://qdr.syr.edu/user/register))
- Search for “Go Discuss” to access the project collection
- Narrow by content or file type or download them all!
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