

# Goals

The Chemistry Facets project brings together experts in assessment, science education, chemistry teaching, and chemistry content to develop a web-based system to support teachers' use of formative assessment to promote conceptual change in chemistry.

The goals of the project are to:

- Identify and develop clusters of facets (student ideas and understandings) related to key high school chemistry concepts
- Develop assessment items that diagnose facets within each cluster
- Enhance the existing web-based Diagnoser assessment system for administering items, reporting results, and providing teacher resources for interpreting and using the assessments
- Develop teacher professional development and resource materials to support their use of facet-based approaches
- Examine whether student learning and motivation to learn in chemistry improve for students in chemistry classes that incorporate a facet-based assessment system

### **Anticipated Products**

- 6 facet clusters on the Atomic Structure of Matter
- 4 facet clusters on Changes in Matter
- Up to 20 validated items per cluster
- Teacher resources including elicitation questions and classroom activities related to each cluster, and a framework for professional development
- A web-based Diagnoser for chemistry, including student assessments and teacher resource materials (www.diagnoser.com)

## **Project Evaluation**

The evaluation plan consists of three components: (1) a smallscale experimental study to examine the efficacy of the use of Diagnoser with Washington and California high school chemistry students and teachers, (2) an Advisory Board to monitor and assess the work, and (3) an external evaluator to assess the facet and item development, as well as factors affecting implementation. Multiple forms of evidence will be used to demonstrate achievement of the project goals. We will examine the cognitive, instructional, and empirical validity of the formative assessment systems. Cognitive interviews will provide information about whether Diagnoser question sets are eliciting intended facets of understanding and providing appropriate feedback to students. A small-scale quasi-experimental study will provide insights into the extent to which this approach benefits student understanding of chemistry concepts.



# Formative Assessment to Improve Student Understanding in Chemistry

# Angela Haydel DeBarger, Pl

SRI International



**Carlos Ayala, Co-Pl** Sonoma State University

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DRL-0733169 Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

#### **Jim Minstrell, Co-Pl** FACET Innovations, LLC

# Purpose and Features of Elicitation and Diagnoser Questions

	<b>Elicitation Questions</b>	<b>Diagnoser Questions</b>
DOSE	<ul> <li>Motivate student interest in figuring something out (likely to be addressed in the next lesson)</li> <li>Give teacher lay of the land with respect to range of student responses present in a class</li> <li>Motivate student reflection: inform students about their understanding in relation to their peers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Motivate student reflection about understanding of the concepts</li> <li>Allow the teacher to check in on student learning and diagnose understanding in relation to facets</li> <li>Motivate student reflection: inform students about their understanding in relation to targeted understanding</li> </ul>
ninistration ing	Early in a unit prior to little, if any, teaching about the content	Mid-unit after some teaching about the content
leted wledge and s	Facets of student understanding	Facets of student understanding
racteristic cures of ostions	<ul> <li>"Real world" and complex context</li> <li>Connected to students' existing/ prior knowledge and experiences</li> <li>Multiple correct and/or partially correct plausible answers are possible</li> <li>Multiple problematic answers are possible</li> <li>Limited use of technical vocabulary</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>One correct answer (for most items)</li> <li>Multiple problematic answers are possible</li> <li>Reasoning documented in selected or written response</li> <li>Technology-supported</li> <li>Use of technical vocabulary OK</li> <li>Grade 4 reading level</li> </ul>
able ures of stions	<ul> <li>Delivered via technology (e.g., classroom response system) vs. paper-pencil vs. verbal by teacher</li> <li>Selected response vs. constructed response</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stand alone item vs. linked items</li> <li>Selected response vs. constructed response</li> <li>Use of technical vocabulary (e.g., low vs. moderate vs. high)</li> </ul>
es of lent Work lucts	<ul> <li>Written constructed-response</li> <li>Open-ended class discussion</li> <li>Selected-response followed by class discussion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Informal observations of student engagement in an activity</li> <li>Selected- or constructed- response items with immediate feedback</li> </ul>
uation of lent Work lucts	<ul> <li>Informal linking of responses to facets by teacher</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For selected-response items: automated link to facets</li> <li>For constructed-response items: responses coded in relation to facets by the teacher</li> </ul>
lities of dback	<ul> <li>Minimal</li> <li>Delivered by teachers or peers</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>For selected-response items: Immediate, automated feedback based on alignment of student response to facet coding</li> <li>For constructed-response items: Teacher feedback based on mapping of student response to facets</li> </ul>
t Steps/ tingencies	<ul> <li>Developmental Lessons</li> <li>Whole class discussion about questions</li> <li>Small group discussion about questions</li> </ul>	Prescriptive Activities related to specific problematic facets

