

## **Project Goals**

Science in Global Issues is a two-year integrated science program for grades 9–10. This sequence (see Figure 1) includes nine units: an introductory unit on sustainability, four biology units, two chemistry units, and two physics units. Students who complete the two-year program will have had the equivalent of a year of high school biology and a semester each of chemistry and physics.

## Figure 1. Science in Global Issue Course Overview

	Unit Title	Content Focus	Sustainability Issue
Year One	Sustainability	Introductory Unit	What is
			Sustainability?
	Living on Earth	Biology: Ecology	Sustainable
	~		Fisheries
	EarthÕs Resources	Chemistry: Matter	Use of EarthÕs
			Resources
	Mitigating Risks	Physics: Waves	Earthquakes and
	from Waves		Electromagnetic
			Radiation
	World Health	Biology: Cell	Global Infectious
		Biology	Diseases
	Feeding the World	Biology: Genetics	Genetically Modified
			Organisms
Year Two	Maintaining	Biology: Evolution	Conservation and
	Diversity		Biodiversity
	Generating	Physics: Electricity	Supplying Electrical
	Electricity	& Energy	Energy
	Fueling the World	Chemistry:	Alternative and
		Chemical	Fossil Fuels
		Reactions	

## SGI Approach

SGI is based on SEPUP's issue-oriented instructional model and was developed through a backward design approach.

Key elements of SGI include:

- Learning goals based on the National Science Education Standards and key state standards
- Inquiry—based exploration of science
- •The SEPUP/BEAR authentic embedded assessment system
- •Learning experiences that engage students in personal, societal, and global issues
- •Embedded literacy support

Overarching sustainability concepts that connect each of the SGI units include:

- •The environmental, economic, societal, cultural, and equity considerations that contribute to the sustainability of a decision
- Perspectives on sustainability of different groups, such as developing and developed countries
- Indicators of sustainability
- Personal, community, and global aspects of sustainability
- •Use of scientific evidence and the analysis of trade-offs to inform decisions related to sustainability

# Science in Global Issues: An Integrated High School Science Course

# Barbara Nagle, Project Director and Sara E. D. Wilmes, Project Coordinator Science Education for Public Understanding Program (SEPUP), Lawrence Hall of Science, UC Berkeley

## Results

## **Student Learning Data Student Pre-test/Post-test Results** •Matched pairs have been analyzed for six units: four biology units and two physics units •Tests included approximately 35 multiple choice questions and 5 to 8 short answer questions. •For the biology tests (Figure 2), student background information was collected and used to disaggregate results by subgroup (Figure 2). These results are presented for the complete test with all items. •For the physics tests (Figure 3), sample sizes were

not large enough to disaggregate by student group. Results presented are disaggregated by item type.

Figure 2. SGI Biology Pre-Post **Effect Sizes** 0.8 0.7 0.6 Whole Sample 0.5 Caucasian Males 0.4 Caucasian Females 0.3 Under-represented STEM 0.2 **Figure 3. SGI Physics Pre-Post Effect Sizes** 0.7(p Whole Sample **ທ** 0.5 0.4 — Whole Sample - Multiple Choice Items **Si** 0.3 Whole Sample **9** 0.2 Constructed Response Items 0.1

# **Teacher Feedback Data**

Teacher feedback was collected using several instruments including Teacher background surveys, Activity surveys, Unit surveys and End-of-field-test surveys (Figures 4, 5, and 6)

### Figure 4: Biology Teachers Comparison of SGI with other Curricula: Instructional Method

Percentage of teachers who responded "frequently" or "very frequently" when asked the

BIO	Other	Curric <b>B</b> IO	SC

1. The materials provided the opportunity to use:
100%
a. Issue-oriented science as a context for science instruction
b. Literacy strategies in science class to a <del>ssist students'</del> 100% comprehension and understanding
c. Diverse strategies to assist diverse student tearning needs 79%
d. Scoring guides or rubrics to assess st <mark>adent growtheeneed 63</mark> %
e. Embedded assessment pieces to assess student understanding63%
f. Embedded assessments to assess growth in s <mark>udents' science</mark> performance skills
g. The same scoring guide multiple times in o <mark>ne unit to show</mark> 96% student growth over course of a unit or period of ያለያtruction
h. student discussions46%
i. Group wa <mark>rk</mark>
2. When teaching science using the materials students had the opportunity to:
a. Express their ideas about science clearly and effectively54%
b. Keep a science noteook46%
c. Design investigations to explore questions 38%
d. Use evidence in the decision making process_33%
e. Use evidence to make trade-o <mark>ff decisions</mark>
f. Work successfully in groups 71%

## Figure 5: Physics Teachers' Comparison of SGI with other **Curricula: Instructional Methods**

Percentage of teachers who responded "frequently" or "very frequently" when asked the N by N and N an

PHY Other Curriculum PHY SG



## Figure 6: TeachersÕComparison of SGI with Other Curricula: Student Learning



Effect sizes calculated for pre-post gain on students' test scores for each unit suggest large gains in student learning for all 4 biology units (Cliff's  $d \ge .46$ ) and moderate to large gains for the 2 physics units (Cliff's d=2.44). For the 4 biology units, it was possible to conduct separate efect size analyses by three demographic groups as well—caucasian male, caucasian female and under-represented STEM. For each group, the effect size analysis showed large gains in student learning (Cliff's  $d \ge .44$ ). Furthermore, there were no significant differences between groups in their growth on the test as a whole, suggesting the curriculum is similarly effective for supporting learning in each group.

Small effect size Cliffs d = 0.147; medium effect size Cliffs d = 0.330; large effect size Cliffs d = 0.474 (Cliff, 1993; Romano et al, 2006).

This course has been field-tested in a variety of classrooms throughout the United States with diverse groups of students. The units are currently being prepared for commercial publication in 2010.

Analysis of student performance on embedded assessments based on the SEPUP/BEAR scoring variables is in progress as part of the revision process.

units.

For further information Email Barbara Nagle at <u>bnagle@berkeley.edu.</u> This project is funded by the National Science Foundation grant number ESI-0352453.

## Conclusions

## Student learning results

## **Teacher Feedback Findings**

- The majority of teachers report that:
- •They would teach the units again.
- •Student engagement is moderate to high.
- •Personal, societal, and global issues provide an opportunity for students to apply what they have learned and engage in critical thinking about how science and technology relate to their lives and their community.

## Work in Progress

•These assessments include variables related to scientific content, process, and decision making.

•In order to evaluate the impact of the issue-oriented approach, students' performance on the SEPUP Evidence and Trade-offs (ET) variable will be assessed within and across

