Contributions of NSF's Discovery Research K-12 Projects to Research in Science and Mathematics Education with English Learners

Linda Caswell and Alina Martinez, Abt Associates; Okhee Lee, New York University; Barbara Brauner Berns, Education Development Center; Hilary Rhodes, The Wallace Foundation.

Introduction

There is an growing need for all students, particularly English Learners (ELs), to develop fundamental understanding of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) and to fully participate in STEM because:

- While ELs are the fastest growing population in the nation's schools (National Center for Education Statistics [NCES], 2012), achievement gaps in mathematics and science have persisted between ELs and their non-EL peers (NCES, 2013);
- Recent educational policy reforms, including the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) for mathematics and the Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), are language intensive and thus present both challenges and opportunities for language learning and content learning for ELs (Lee, Quinn, & Valdés, 2013).
- Today's technological and global society requires that all students learn challenging mathematics and science for personal and social reasons as well as for college and career readiness.

Both governmental and nongovernmental organizations are increasingly influential in education policy through grant funding (Ferris, Hentschke, & Harmssen, 2008; Hansen, 2008). Funding programs can play a critical role in shaping new research interests by prioritizing specific research topics and designs or by requiring particular specializations of researchers.

Traditionally, limited attention has been given to content area instruction for ELs, specifically in science and mathematics (August & Hakuta, 1997; Janzen, 2008). However, knowledge about science and mathematics instruction for ELs has been expanding in the last decade (Buxton & Lee, 2014).

Goal of the Study

- mathematics education for ELs.
- fields of science and engineering (NSF, 2013)
- models and tools" (NSF, 2011, p. 2).

Research Questions

- they compare?
- do they compare?
- What is the disciplinary expertise of the investigators (PIs and co-PIs) in mathematics projects?

 To explore whether funding provided through the National Science Foundation's (NSF) Discovery Research in K-12 (DR K-12) program has made a unique contribution to the research in the fields of science and

• NSF: Federal agency created in 1950 that supports research and education in all

• DR K-12 Program: The largest of NSF's six education research and development programs; focuses on the "development, testing, implementation effectiveness, and/or scale-up of innovative resources,

• What are the research characteristics of the portfolio of DR K-12 projects and the literature of non DR K-12- projects in the field of EL science education? How do

What are the research characteristics of the portfolio of DR K-12 projects and the literature of non DR K-12 projects in the field of EL mathematics education? How

the portfolio of DR K-12 EL science and

Methods

Samples

- 34 DR K-12 projects that focused on ELs from the first five cohorts funded from 2007 – 2011 (12% of all projects funded). Of these projects, 15 focused on science, 16 on mathematics, and 3 on both science and mathematics.
- ANALYTIC SAMPLE => 18 science education; 19 mathematics education projects
- 77 projects identified through Lee (2005) review and additional literature searches. Of these projects, 32 focused on science education, 38 focused on mathematics education and 7 focused on both science and mathematics.
- ANALYTIC SAMPLE => 39 science education; 45 mathematics education projects
- 77 CVs of PIs and co-PIs of DR K-12 EL projects (96% of PIs)

Analysis Approach

- Content analyses of the portfolio of DR K-12 projects and the literature of non DR K-12 projects in the fields of EL science and mathematics education in terms of research topics, design, methods, scale, samples, outcomes.
- Content analyses of the curricula vitae (CVs) of the DR K-12 projects' PIs and co-PIs in terms of disciplinary expertise.

Results-Investigator Expertise

- All but one project had expertise in the content area focus of project (mathematics or science or both).
- A majority of science projects (but not math projects) had EL/ELA expertise among key investigators.
- The most common area of expertise added by advisory group members was EL/ELA expertise (7 of 9 additions), and this was more likely to be added to mathematics projects that lacked EL/ELA expertise in their key investigators.



Results—Research Characteristics

DR K-12 EL projects were distinct from non DR K-12 EL projects in three areas:

1) Use of mixed methods and experimental designs.

Table 1: Research Method, Design, and Scale Non DR K-12 EL (n = 18)^b (n = 39)esearch Methods Mixed methods 89% Quantitative methods only Qualitative methods only Research Design Experimental design 28% 17% Quasi-experimental design 44% Rare Descriptive Research Scale 44% Multiple sites^c 36% ^a As described in Lee (2005)

e three projects that focused on both science and mathematics were included in this tota

2) Focus on middle school students.

Table 2: Grade Levels Addressed

Grade levels researched	Non DR K-12 EL science education projects from 2005 to March 2013 (n = 39)	DR K-12 EL science education projects (n = 18)	Non DR K-12 E mathematics educ projects since 19 (n = 45)
Pre-Kindergarten	0%	6%	0%
Primary (grades K-5)	49%	56%	67%
Middle (grades 6–8)	46%	72%	33%
High (grades 9–12)	23%	11%	16%
Note: Dereeptages add to over 100% been	is some projects focused on a	students and lar taachars	of students perces sou

ss several grade levels, such as bot the fifth and sixth grades, teachers of the secondary level (grades 7 to 12), or all grades (pre-K to 12).

3) Emphasis on instruction and teacher preparation.

	Non DR K-12 EL		Non DR K-12 EL		
Research Topic	science education projects through 2004ª	Non DR K-12 EL science projects from 2005 to March 2013	DR K-12 EL science education projects	mathematics education projects since 1982	DR K-12 EL mathematics education projects
	(n = 34)	(n = 39)	(n = 18)	(n = 45)	(n = 19)
Science learning	Emerging	44%	11%	42%	5%
Science curriculum	Limited	23%	44%	13%	16%
Science instruction	Emerging	41%	61%	29%	89%
Science assessment	Limited	21%	11%	29%	16%
Science teacher preparation (pre-service)	None	5%	22%	0%	21%





K-12 EL natics ation s since 32 45)	DR K-12 EL mathematics education projects (n = 19)ª		
%	84%		
%	0%		
%	16%		
%	17%		
%	22%		
%	61%		
%	44%		
0/	600/		



Discussion

- The results of this study were shaped by the DR K-12 solicitation and the peer review process, both of which influence which projects were funded.
- The DR K-12 projects' greater use of mixed methods and experimental designs seems to reflect the increasing focus on intervention studies supported by federal funding agencies.
- DR K-12 projects, with their focus on instruction and teacher preparation, have already begun to contribute to the critical areas of preparing teachers to teach science and mathematics to the growing number of ELs.
- Although the DR K-12 researchers may not be representative of all investigators in conducting research in STEM education, the findings indicated that the DR K-12 program is building the capacity of a core group of EL science and mathematics education researchers.

Policy Implications

- Researchers applying for DR K-12 funding responded to grant criteria, including research designs and methods that test the effectiveness of interventions and a focus on language diversity, and thus moved the fields forward in important ways.
- Federal agencies should continue to provide funding to support this research as a necessary step to improving the quality of science and mathematics education for ELs, closing the achievement gap, and enabling them to be ready for college and careers by the end of high school.

abtassociates.com

This work is funded by the National Science Foundation, grant # 0822241. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in these materials are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.